

DOI: 10.21767/2471-9641.100015

Using Stem Cells in Toxicological Assessments

Ayse Tarbin Jannuzzi¹, Eren Ozcagli¹, Leda Kovatsi², Marina Goumenou³ and Aristides M Tsatsakis³

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

²Laboratory of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

³Center of Toxicology Science and Research, Division of Morphology, Medical School, University of Crete, Voutes Campus, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

Corresponding author: Aristides M Tsatsakis, Center of Toxicology Science and Research, Division of Morphology, Medical School, University of Crete, Voutes Campus, Heraklion, Crete, Greece; E-mail: aris@med.uoc.gr

Received date: Apr 15, 2016; **Accepted date:** Apr 21, 2016; **Published date:** May 28, 2016

Citation: Jannuzzi AT, Ozcagli E, Kovatsi L, et al. Using Stem Cells in Toxicological Assessments. J Med Toxicol Clin Forens Med. 2016, 2:1.

Copyright: © 2016 Jannuzzi AT, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

An increasing number of regulations around the world support and require *in vitro* toxicity testing in order to replace animal studies and to obtain more predictable results under *in vitro* conditions [1]. Towards this direction, stem cells and their use in *in vitro* pharmacological and toxicological studies are gaining increasing scientific interest [2,3]. Stem cells have the capacity for self-renewal and generation of differentiated cells, such as cardiomyocytes, hepatocytes, neurons, and muscle cells. In the field of toxicology, stem cell studies mainly focus on target organ toxicity and developmental toxicity.

Animal studies present limitations such as cross-species extrapolation and metabolic differences between species. Furthermore, there is always a concern regarding ethical issues. On the other hand, human stem cell technology has a high potential to overcome disadvantages of animal testing, which do not always reflect human toxicity.

Stem cell sources could be classified into 2 main groups: embryonic and non-embryonic. Embryonic stem cells are good predictors for prenatal developmental studies, while non-embryonic stem cells are more suitable for disease modelling [4].

Stem cells are important targets for environmental toxicants. At the genomic, proteomic and epigenomic levels, environmental toxicants such as pesticides, tobacco smoke, heavy metals and radiation can affect changes associated with ageing in stem cells [5].

There is an increasing need for validated, *in vitro*, alternative methods for regulatory purposes. Recently, the EPAA (European Partnership of Alternatives to Animal Testing) organized a workshop on stem cell models and stressed that stem cell studies need further multidisciplinary work [6]. The European Union Reference Laboratory for alternatives to animal testing (EURL-ECVAM) has validated mouse embryonic stem cell use in embryotoxicity screening [7]. The mouse embryonic stem cell test (mEST) is based on the determination of cardiomyocyte differentiation and on the comparison of cytotoxicity differences between mEST and 3T3 fibroblasts [8].

It is of course self-evident that studies on human embryonic stem cells could better represent and help us understand the pharmacological effects and toxicological exposures in humans. However, until today, ECVAM has not approved any human embryonic stem cell based test. In order to obtain human embryonic stem cells, a human embryo has to be destroyed. Therefore, ethical reasons constitute the main limitation towards the development of human embryonic stem cell techniques and their use for toxicity assessments and regulatory applications.

However, it seems that induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) could be a good alternative to human embryonic stem cells. Gurdon and Yamanaka received the Nobel Prize in 2012 with their discovery that mature cells could be converted to stem cells [9]. Induced pluripotent stem cells could be derived from differentiated, mature, specialized cells by manipulating pluripotency genes [10]. iPSCs from patients suffering from the disease of interest seem to be a promising tool for understanding pathology in the cell model. During the last years, iPSCs have been used in disease modelling and the discovery of disease-specific biomarkers.

Pluripotent cells from different origins must be evaluated and compared to each other in terms of representing *in vitro* toxicity. It is critical to standardize reprogramming protocols and culture conditions and to characterize stem cells with pluripotency markers [11]. Hepatocytes play a crucial role in the metabolism of chemicals and drugs and iPSCs seem to be promising for use in hepatotoxicity studies. Reprogramming iPSCs to hepatocyte-like cells is a challenging issue, as genetic and epigenetic abnormalities may take place. Currently, primary hepatocytes and some transformed cell lines, such as HepaRG cells, seem to be the most appropriate cell models for hepatotoxicity testing. However, there is a potential for using iPSC-derived hepatocytes in several fields, such as drug screening and *in vitro* disease modelling. Producing large numbers of metabolically suitable liver cell lines for use in pharmacological and toxicological studies [12,13] seems to have an increasing scientific interest.

Neurotoxicity studies present many challenges and it seems that stem cells are quite promising in this field. Stem cells are

able to differentiate into functional and metabolically active neurons, oligodendrocytes and astrocytes under *in vitro* conditions. The development of validated, stem cell-based neurotoxicity models will improve studies in different fields, such as developmental neurotoxicity, neurodegenerative medicine and drug-induced neuropathy [14,15].

Cardiotoxicity is an important and frequent adverse effect of chemical exposure or drug treatment. However, preclinical tests may fail to demonstrate cardiotoxicity. Due to limitations in preclinical tests, new models are of scientific interest. iPSC-derived cardiomyocytes seem to be a good model for studying drug cardiotoxicity and validation studies are currently underway to assess stem cell technologies for such applications [16,17].

Isolated cell culture models do not represent vascularisation and immunological parameters and it is therefore hard to extrapolate data obtained from these studies to humans. On the other hand, three dimensional cell cultures are good models for studying target organ toxicity. Future progress in the 3D human stem cell-based models will greatly contribute to the development of more predictable models in the field of toxicology [14]. Such advancements in stem cell technologies will allow the development of novel *in vitro* methods for early testing of drugs and chemicals, and will enable the reduction of animal studies.

References

1. Krewski D, Acosta D, Andersen M (2010) Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health. Part B* 13: 51-138.
2. Claude N, Christakis M, Tsatsakis AM (2010) Stem cells technologies in toxicology assessments. *Toxicology* 270: 1-2.
3. Krasagakis K, Kruger-Krasagakis S, Eberle J, Tsatsakis A, Tosca AD, et al. (2009) Co-expression of KIT receptor and its ligand stem cell factor in Merkel cell carcinoma. *Dermatology* 218: 37-43.
4. Deshmukh RS, Kovács KA, Dinnyés A (2012) Drug discovery models and toxicity testing using embryonic and induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived cardiac and neuronal cells. *Stem cells International* 2012: 379569.
5. Hodjat M, Rezvanfar MA, Abdollahi M (2015) A systematic review on the role of environmental toxicants in stem cells aging. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 86: 298-308.
6. Suter-Dick L, Alves PM, Blaauboer BJ (2015) Stem cell-derived systems in toxicology assessment. *Stem cells and development* 24: 1284-1296.
7. Seiler AE, Spielmann H (2011) The validated embryonic stem cell test to predict embryotoxicity *in vitro*. *Nature protocols* 6: 961-78.
8. (2011) EURL ECVAM, European Union Reference Laboratory for alternatives to animal testing.
9. Rashid ST, Alexander GJ (2013) Induced pluripotent stem cells: from Nobel Prizes to clinical applications. *Journal of hepatology* 58: 625-629.
10. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S (2006) Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 126: 663-76.
11. Vojnits K, Bremer S (2010) Challenges of using pluripotent stem cells for safety assessments of substances. *Toxicology* 270: 10-17.
12. Guguen-Guillouzo C, Corlu A, Guillouzo A (2010) Stem cell-derived hepatocytes and their use in toxicology. *Toxicology* 270: 3-9.
13. Hannoun Z, Steichen C, Dianat N, Weber A, Dubart-Kupperschmitt A (2016) The Potential of Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell derived Hepatocytes. *Journal of Hepatology*.
14. Singh S, Srivastava A, Kumar V (2015) Stem Cells in Neurotoxicology/Developmental Neurotoxicology: Current Scenario and Future Prospects. *Molecular Neurobiology*.
15. Sison-Young R, Kia R, Heslop J (2011) Human pluripotent stem cells for modelling toxicity. *Advances in pharmacology* 63: 207-256.
16. Braam SR, Tertoolen L, van de Stolpe A, Meyer T, Passier R, et al. (2010) Prediction of drug-induced cardiotoxicity using human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Stem Cell Res* 4: 107-116.
17. Lee S, Lee HA, Choi SW, Kim SJ, Kim KS (2016) Evaluation of nefazodone-induced cardiotoxicity in human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 296: 42-53.